

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/176,315

Applicant(s)  
Maeda et al.

Examiner  
Sara W. Crane

Group Art Unit  
2811

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sara W. Crane

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ray Cardillo (RN 40440)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 16, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached. as below

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,3,4

Identification of prior art discussed:  
of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The previous Office action of 7/19/00 did not specify which Iwamatsu et al. reference was intended in the 103 rejection. The reference intended was "High Speed 0.5 um SOI 1/8 Frequency Divider . . . ." We discussed the 112, 1st paragraph rejection. Applicant emphasizes that the inventive method is not necessarily drawn to the frequency range specified alone, and Applicant believes that prior art devices may in fact function at > 500 MHz, for example. We discussed the RC time constant of the body contact region under the gate as a feature not specifically taught in the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Sara W. Crane*  
SARA W. CRANE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2811

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.